

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
7/815,782	01/02/92	HARDAWAY		Α	PA-S	5839-0-AW
F STEPHEN D. KREFMAN				HAUDHRY, SEXAMINER		
WHIRLPOOL CO		EPT.		ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2000 M-63 BENTON HARBOR, MI 49022				1109		. 9
L		DATE MAILED: 01/19/93				

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION	•				
X TH	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
	is extended to run from the date of the Final Rejection					
	continues to run from the date of the Final Rejection					
Þ	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In n event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1, fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed in purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 1,17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for responding the period for responding amount 1,17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for responding the period for	is the date of the response and also the date for the nt of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR				
	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been consider place the application in condition for allowance:	red with the following affect, but it is not deemed to				
1.	\square The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered a	nd the final rejection stands because:				
	 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propresented. 	osed amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sear	rch. (See Note).				
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
	 d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal appeal. 	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE:					
2. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submit non-allowable claims.	tted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the				
з. 🗷	$oxed{f f iggle}$ Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment $f f iggle$ will be $oxed{f \Box}$ will n					
	application would be as follows:	Theretor Murs				
	Allowed claims: NovE Claims objected to: NovE	Theodore Morris				
	Claims objected to. Claims rejected: 1, 4-6 and 14-38	Supervisory Patent Examiner				
	However;	Patent Examining Group 110				
	a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be over					
4. 🗆	_					
5. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not show presented.	n good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier				
П т	The proposed drawing correction $\ \square$ has $\ \square$ has not been approved by the exam					
12 4, c	Other	10-20100 11				
	Elains 1,4-6 and 14-38 would	be accommone of				
	Claims 1,4-6 and 14-38 would applicant file an appropriate Terri	final Disclaimer.				